DOT/FAA/AM-98/8

Office of Aviation Medicine Washington, D.C. 20591

Differential Training Needs and Abilities at Air Traffic Control Towers: Should All Controllers Be Trained Equally?

Richard C. Thompson
Rebecca A. Agen
Dana M. Broach
Civil Aeromedical Institute
Federal Aviation Administration
Oklahoma City, OK 73125

March 1998

Final Report

This document is available to the public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.



U.S. Department of Transportation

Federal Aviation Administration

NOTICE

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for the contents or use thereof.

Technical Report Documentation Page

1.5			I a D	
1. Report No. DOT/FAA/AM-98/8	Government Accession No.		Recipient's Catalog No.	
DO17 FAA7 AWF-907 0				
4. Title and Subtitle	<u> </u>		5. Report Date	
Differential Training Needs and Abili	tion at Air Traffic Cont	rol Towers	March 1998	
Should All Controllers Be Trained Ed		ioi iowers.	ויומונוו 1990	
Should All Conditioners be frailled EC	Juany:		6. Performing Organization (Code
7 6.45-27			, ,	
7. Author(s)	l DM		Performing Organization Report	OFF INO.
Thompson, R.C., Agen, R.A., and Br	roach, D.M.			
Performing Organization Name and Address			10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)	
FAA Civil Aeromedical Institute P.O. Box 25082				
Oklahoma City, OK 73125				
Oktationia City, OK 13123			11. Contract or Grant No.	
13 Changering Agapay name and Adding			12 Type of December 2 December 2	'ayarad
12. Sponsoring Agency name and Address			13. Type of Report and Period C	overed
Office of Aviation Medicine				
Federal Aviation Administration			14 Chancaring Aganay C-1-	
800 Independence Avenue, S. W.			14. Sponsoring Agency Code	
Washington, DC 20591 15. Supplemental Notes				
гэ. эирріетівній імпез				
16. Abstract				
	dontified by			ning noods of
The present study uses job elements in				
traffic control specialists (ATCSs) wh	_		_	ů ů
Director of Air Traffic Services tasked			0	O
training needs assessment. To measure				
ATX and distributed by the Civil Aer			· ·	S
regional Air Traffic Division managers	•	_		•
new controllers at the time of entry in				
The results indicated that there are so				
towers. Therefore, the tower assignme		be identified up	on entry into the Acad	terny to better focus
on the specific training needs of prosp	becuve towers.			
17. Key Words	=	18. Distribution Statem	nent	
Air Traffic Control Specialists, Training	ng Needs		available to the public	through
-		the National	Technical Information	Service,
		Springfield, V	'A 22161	
19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20.	Security Classif. (of this page)		21. No. of Pages	22. Price
UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIE	ED	17	
	duction of completed page author		- •	

I

DIFFERENTIAL TRAINING NEEDS AND ABILITIES AT AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWERS: SHOULD ALL CONTROLLERS BE TRAINED EQUALLY?

Over the next two decades, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) will be required to replace the bulk of the air traffic control specialist (ATCS) workforce (Schroeder, Broach, & Farmer, 1997). The large-scale replacement of controllers will occur within the context of reduced resources available for selection, training, and deployment. In addition, the agency is planning to introduce new air traffic control and management technologies within the National Airspace System (NAS), theoretically requiring different knowledge, skills, and abilities (KSAs) as compared with those of the present controller workforce (Schroeder, et al., 1997).

In anticipation of an influx of new hires and the prospect of increased training demands with reduced resources, the FAA is redesigning its ATCS training systems and processes at air traffic control (ATC) facilities (Wickens, Mavor, & McGee, 1997). One element of that redesign is an overall reexamination of the training requirements and capabilities of field facilities. This study investigated the training requirements and capabilities for ATC facilities at the nation's busier airports, known as terminals. The needs assessment focused on two questions: (a) what are the knowledge, skills, and abilities (KSAs) required of new hire ATCS (developmentals) to begin on-the-job training (OJT) and (b) what are the facility's capabilities to provide training on those KSAs for developmentals. This paper details the assessment of the perceived training needs of, and the capability to provide OJT at level III, IV, and V towers.

Air Traffic Control Background

Terminal facilities provide ATC services at and around airports; air route traffic control centers (ARTCCs, or "centers") provide those services between airports. Approximately 40% of the 17,000 current controllers are assigned to 473 FAA terminal facilities. These terminal controllers have undergone a rigorous selection and training program before being

certified as "full-performance level" (FPL) controllers. Initial training is currently conducted at the FAA Academy in Oklahoma City, OK. The bulk of a controller's training, however, is provided at the field facility. Facility training begins with classroom instruction followed by work in simulators. The content of the simulator-based training is tied to the facility's area of responsibility and operating procedures. Final training is accomplished with live traffic on the deck of the tower, or floor of the radar room, under the careful and extremely close supervision and instruction of a seasoned full-performance level controller.

The OJT in terminal facilities is based on the positions that a controller will operate during a shift. Depending on the volume of traffic, runway layout, and facility equipment configuration, each terminal is organized into several control positions, each with distinct responsibilities in the maintenance of the safe, orderly, and expeditious flow of air traffic into and out of an airport. The major positions are: clearance delivery; flight data, ground control, local control, and radar control. These positions are described in the FAA's Operational Position Standards (FAA, 1989). The clearance delivery and flight data positions receive, process, and disseminate flight plan information within the tower cab. The ground controller manages the airport taxiways and the planes moving to and from the active runways. The local controller manages the arrivals and departures from the active runways of the airport and from aircraft operating within a predetermined (usually 5 nautical miles) visual distance of the airport. Finally, the radar controller, working in either a room at the base of the tower or sometimes in a building located elsewhere in the metropolitan area, manages the airspace around the airport out to a specified distance beyond the visual range of the tower cab. The radar controller issues speed, heading, and altitude instructions known as (clearances) to the departing and arriving aircraft within that terminal's control area.

Due to differences in air traffic density, runway layouts, and facility equipment, the FAA groups terminals into different levels for staffing purposes. Terminal levels are determined by air traffic density factors. Density factors are calculated by summing the total number of air traffic operations for the busiest 183 days during the previous 12-month period. The sum is then divided by 183 to obtain an average number of operations. The average is then divided by 16 (to avoid penalizing non-24-hour terminals and towers with reduced traffic during the midnight shift) to obtain the density factor. Level III terminals have density factors ranging from 20 to 59.99 operations per hour; level IV towers have density factors ranging from 60 to 99.99 operations per hour; and level V towers have density factors greater than 100 operations per hour.

These differences in air traffic density, airport layouts, and facility equipment configurations potentially result in different knowledge, skill, and ability requirements for developmentals among terminal levels. Different KSA requirements suggest a need for different training programs. One purpose of this study was to examine the degree to which KSA requirements differed among terminal levels at the nation's busiest airports. The patterns of differences and similarities in KSA requirements among terminal levels would aid in identifying generic versus facility-specific training requirements to support the continued redesign of the ATCS training system.

Given generic versus facility-specific training requirements, the reengineering question then becomes the capabilities of the facilities to deliver training on those KSAs. The second purpose of this study, therefore, was to examine the degree to which facilities differed in their capability to provide training on the required KSAs. This analysis would identify gaps in training capabilities by facility level, and their needs for additional resources required to improve the training capabilities of the terminals.

METHOD

Participants

A survey was mailed to 172 level III, IV, and V terminal facility managers and regional air traffic (ATS-500) managers. The Air Traffic Initial Qualifications Standards Work Group determined which terminals would be surveyed. One hundred eight (108) surveys were returned, for a 60% return rate. Of

the respondents indicating their facility level (seven did not), 62% were level III terminals, 20% were level IV terminals, and 18% were level V terminals. Appendix A provides an analysis of the sample and respondents. Regional ATS-500 managers were not identified (for anonymity reasons).

Measures

The survey (see Appendix B) consisted of 191 items grouped into 13 different dimensions or categories assessing specific knowledge, skills, and abilities of air traffic control specialists. These dimensions were identified by subject-matter experts as important components of an ATCS position. The subject-matter experts consisted of headquarters, FAA Academy, and field personnel from the En Route Air Traffic Initial Qualifications Standards Work Group. Each of the 13 dimensions is briefly described below. Each dimension and the specific items that comprised the dimension are found in Appendix B.

- National Certifications. Assesses the need for developmentals to have four types of national certification at the time of reporting to the tower.
- Human Factors in ATC. Assesses teamwork skills required by ATCSs to function effectively in unusual circumstances when higher levels of intercontroller coordination are necessary.
- Hearback/Readback. Assesses the developmentals' ability to accurately communicate with the pilot and other ATCSs.
- ATC Technique. Assesses the developmentals' need to understand correct behaviors associated with controlling aircraft during standard operations.
- Implications of Significant Weather. Examines the need for developmentals to understand weather radar and the consequences of adverse weather conditions.
- Understanding the Pilot's Environment. Assesses
 the developmentals' knowledge of aviation from the
 pilot's perspective.
- ATC Equipment. Examines the developmentals' knowledge of radar and information-gathering devices that may be available, for example DBRITE radar.
- 8. **Tower Cab.** Assesses KSAs necessary to function effectively in a tower cab environment.
- Nonradar. Assesses the developmentals' need to know how to control and track aircraft without radar support.

- 10. **Unusual Situations.** Examines behaviors needed when a mishap or accident occurs.
- 11. **Radar Training.** Examines the developmentals' knowledge associated with the effective use of radar.
- 12. **Academy Simulation Training.** Assesses the developmentals' need for simulator exposure to tower level III, IV, and/or V aircraft volume and complexity.
- **13. Developmental Training. Assesses** general computer skill requirements.

Procedures To assess the specific KSAs within each category (see Appendix B) terminal level III, IV, and V managers were asked to indicate if developmentals should have each skill upon arriving at the facility. In addition, the managers were asked if the facility currently had the ability to provide OJT for each KSA, regardless of perceived need. Dimension scores were the proportion of "yes" responses across all items within a dimension.

To determine if differences existed in the training needs and the ability to train KSAs at level III, IV, and V towers, yes and no responses were assessed with non-parametric chi-square analyses. To reduce the number ofstatistical tests and to provide more stable estimates of differences, tests of significance were conducted only for categories or dimensions. This procedure better controlled the study-wise error rate but decreased the specificity of the analyses.

RESULTS

The survey results, and any significant differences among towers are summarized in Tables I and 2. Table 1 shows the percentage of facility managers who indicated developmentals need the KSAs when reporting to a facility. Table 2 shows the percentage of facility managers who indicated that they had the ability to provide OJT for each category of KSAs.

Table 1. Percentage of Respondents Endorsing KSA Dimension as Needed at Time of Entry Into Field Training (by Facility Level)

	Ter	rminal Le	vel	
KSA Dimension	III	IV	V	Chi-Square
National Certifications	77%	70%	78%	2.10
Human Factors in ATC	85%	83%	94%	6.56*
Hearback/Readback	94%	95%	89%	3.93
ATC Technique	85%	88%	87%	0.52
Implications of Significant Weather	88%	93%	94%	3.14
Understanding the Pilot's	72%	76%	84%	7.30*
Environment				
ATC Equipment	58%	58%	66%	3.55*
Tower Cab	82%	83%	86%	1.88
Nonradar	67%	68%	82%	13.12**
Unusual Situations	77%	69%	79%	2.99
Radar Training	77%	89%	85%	26.92***
Academy Simulation Training	42%	56%	67%	10.92**
Developmental Training	50%	46%	47%	0.40

^{*} p <.05, ** p <.01, *** p <.001

Table 2. Percentage of Respondents Indicating that Terminal has the Capability to Deliver Training on KSA Dimensions by Facility Level

	Ter	minal Le	<u>vel</u>	
KSA Dimension	Ш	IV	V	Chi-Square
National Certifications	82%	81%	73%	34.64***
Human Factors in ATC	36%	21%	30%	7.77*
Hearback/Readback	85%	86%	84%	0.17
ATC Technique	82%	84%	88%	1.94
Implications of Significant Weather	78%	74%	83%	2.48
Understanding the Pilot's Environment	59%	43%	50%	11.10**
ATC Equipment	62%	75%	82%	31.62***
Tower Cab	85%	96%	79%	33.35***
Nonradar	85%	87%	69%	21.94***
Unusual Situations	88%	78%	75%	9.35**
Radar Training	82%	89%	70%	37.89***
Academy Simulation Training	42%	68%	59%	14.03***
Developmental Training	73%	70%	73%	0.33

^{*} p <.05, ** p <.01, *** p <.001

Nonradar and Radar Training are two dimensions where level V managers indicated a strong need for the developmental to have skills when arriving at the facility but these managers do not have the ability to provide that training (see Tables 1 & 2). All tower levels indicated a low ability to train for Human Factors in ATC, with level IV managers reporting the lowest ability (21%) to train for this dimension $x^2(2)=7.77$, p<0.05. Similarly, all levels, especially level V towers, reported a need for the developmental to possess an understanding of the pilot's environment (84%), $x^2(2)=7.30$, p<0.05. However, all levels indicated a low ability to train in this area, with level IV showing the lowest ability (43%), $x^2(2)=11.10$, p<0.1.

With regard to National Certifications, Unusual Situations, and Tower Cab, there were no significant differences among tower levels in the need for these KSAs when developmentals were reporting to a tower; over two-thirds of all facility managers indicated that developmentals receive these dimensions of training.

There were differences in the ability to train for these dimensions, however. Specifically, level V towers indicated significantly less ability to provide training directed towards National Certifications (73%), compared with level IV (81%) and level III (82%), which did not differ from each other. Also, more level III towers indicated an ability to provide training in unusual situations, compared with level IV and level V towers. Finally, level IV towers indicated the greatest need for Tower Environment training (96%), compared with level III (85%) and level V (79%) towers.

Figure 1 summarizes the results of the significance tests in the form of a 2x2 contingency table. Figure 1 shows four patterns of results. The results for each combination of needs for KSAs and the ability to train are discussed next.

Cell 1 of Figure 1 shows that significant differences did occur among tower levels for both the skills needed upon arrival at the facility and the ability of tower levels to provide training for the following dimensions: Human Factors in ATC, Understanding

	Signi	ficant differences in skills need	ded prior to facility assignment
Significant differences in tower's ability to	<u>YES</u>	Cell I Human Factors in ATC Understanding the Pilot's Environment ATC Equipment Nonradar Radar Training Academy Simulation Training	NO Cell 2 National Certifications Unusual Situations Tower Cab
provide training	<u>NO</u>	Cell 3	 Cell 4 Hearback/Readback ATC Technique Implications of Significant Weather Developmental Training

Figure 1. Pattern of Survey Outcomes: Significant Differences Between Tower Levels

the Pilot's Environment, ATC Equipment, Nonradar, Radar Training, and Academy Simulation (see Tables 1 & 2). Cell 2 indicates standard skills that developmentals should have upon arrival; yet, some towers cannot provide this training. Therefore, these skills should be added to the existing tower curricula, and additional training resources provided based on the tower's present ability to provide such training. There were no KSAs that fell into Cell 3 of Figure 1. This finding indicates that there are few KSAs that cannot be differentially trained by the tower levels. The presence of KSAs in cell 3 would indicate there were important skills that must be trained at the Academy for all developmentals, regardless of tower level. Cell 4 of Figure 1 shows that, for Hearback/ Readback, ATC Technique, Implications of Significant Weather, and Developmental Training (see Tables 1 & 2), there are no significant differences among tower levels in the perceived need for the KSAs when developmentals arrive at the facility and the towers are

able to provide training for the KSAs. Therefore, regardless of tower level, developmentals need similar levels of training in these KSAs, and tower levels do not differ in their ability to provide training.

DISCUSSION

The present study provides an initial examination of the training needs and abilities of levels III, IV, and V towers. The results suggest that, for about half of the skill categories, the ATCS training needs perceived by facility managers are about the same. However, for those areas (i.e., Human Factors in ATC, Understanding the Pilot's Environment, ATC Equipment, Nonradar, Radar Training, and Academy Simulation) where differences in training needs do exist, the KSAs are needed less often in level III towers (nonradar, radar training, and Academy simulations) or both level III and IV towers (Emergency ATC situations, Pilot environment, and Equipment).

The ability of different level towers to provide training for sets of skills varies widely. For example, only one third or fewer, facility managers indicated that they could provide training for emergency ATC situations. However, level III towers could provide this training more often than level V, level IV towers were least able to provide this training. The limited ability to deliver this training suggests that it should be provided by the Academy. Similarly, only about half of all facilities could provide training to enhance the developmental's Understanding of the Pilot's Environment, indicating that it, too, should be delivered by the Academy. On the other hand, compared with levels III and IV towers, level V towers were better able to provide training on a variety of equipment, perhaps due to a greater availability of newer technology at these towers. In general, level IV towers indicated more ability to train for tower-specific skills (Nonradar, Radar Training, ATC Equipment) and indicated a higher need for simulator experience than did levels III and V towers. Level III towers appeared better able to provide non-tower-specific training, such as National Certifications, Understanding the Pilot's Environment, and Unusual Situations. Taken as a whole, these results suggest that some training should be delivered to some developmentals at the tower instead of at the Academy. Others KSAs, such as Emergency Situation Training and the Understanding the Pilot's Environment, should be delivered by the Academy. Finally, some training, such as exposure to levels IV and V difficulty, is not needed by over half of level III towers and could be eliminated or reduced from the program of training for developmentals who will report to those towers, while being retained for others.

These findings suggest that it may be useful to conduct additional, more detailed analyses assessing the viability of such training options. This study focused solely on the perceptions of facility managers. While this is useful information, curriculum changes based solely on the perceptions of facility managers would be unjustified. Instead, research should also examine the perceptions of both working controllers and supervisors. Working controllers and supervisors are more familiar with the actual work that is performed and the requisite KSAs needed. The perceptions of these groups could differ substantially from those of facility managers examined in the present study. Additional research should also examine the cost/benefits of training effectiveness, contrasting OJT, the FAA Academy, and the Collegiate Training Initiative (CTI) graduates. Additional inquiries will help clarify the changes required and provide an improved basis for identifying the cost benefits of Academy versus field facility training.

REFERENCES

FAA. (1989). *Operational Positions Standards.* (FAA Order 7220.2A). Washington DC: FAA Air Traffic Operation Services.

Schroeder, D.J., Broach, D., & Farmer, W.L. (1997). Current FAA Controller Workforce Demographics, Future Requirements, and Research Questions. Paper presented at the Ninth Annual International Aviation Psychology Symposium, April 28-May 1, Columbus, Ohio.

Wickens, C.D., Mavor, A.S., & McGee, J.P. (1997). Flight to the Future, Human Factors in Air Traffic Control. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

APPENDIX A

Survey Distribution and Return Rates by Region and Facility Level

	F:	acility L	امريد		
Region		IV	∀	ATS-	Total
Region	111	ıv	V	500	Total
	Sun/	eys Dist	ributed	300	
Alaskan	0	eys Dist	0	1	2
Central	5	1	1	1	8
Eastern	3 14	5	4	1	24
Great Lakes	23	8	1	1	33
New England	23 5	2	0	1	33 8
Northwest Mt.	12	2	•	1	15
Southern	28	7	0 5	1	41
Southwest	20	· - ·	1	1	
	_	3	•	1	25
Western-	16	5	3	l	25
Pacific	400	2.4	4.5	0	404
Total	123	34	15	9	181
	Sun	veys Re	turned		
	Our	veys ite	arrica		
Alaskan	0	0	0	*	0
Central	5	0	1	*	6
Eastern	9	1	3	*	13
Great Lakes	14	5	1	*	20
New England	3	2	0	*	5
Northwest Mt.	5	0	2	*	7
Southern	10	5	5	*	20
Southwest	9	4	2 5 2 4	*	15
Western-	8	3	4	*	15
Pacific					
Total	63	20	18	7	108

Note: Regional ATS-500 managers were not assessed due to the small number of respondents. Respondents are not identified due to anonymity concerns.

APPENDIX B

Results of Air Traffic Initial Qualifications Standards Survey

		facility, de must ha	iving at you evelopment ve this skill % Yes	als		oility to pro	do you cur vide this tra Yes	rently have aining?
	Tower 3	Towers	Towers	TWR 3-5	Tower 3	Towerd	Tower 5	TWR 3-5
NATIONAL CERTIFICATIONS								
Without references, the developmental should be able to successfully complete the following National Certification:	<u> </u>							
1. D B R I T E	78	74	94	79	93	95	89	91
2. LAWRS	63	60	39	58	78	75	41	72
3. Radar Qualifications	79	68	83	77	73	75	78	74
4. CT0	89	79	94	88	83	80	83	81
National Certifications Summary*	77	70	78	75	82	81	73	79
HUMAN FACTORS IN ATC								
Given an unusual or emergency ATC situation (e.g., aircraft accident, aircraft incident, runway incursion), the developmental should possess teamwork skills that include:								
5. Good Communication and Coordination	95	95	94	96	66	45	56	60
6. Managing Interpersonal Conflict	89	80	100	89	26	10	17	21
7. Problem Solving	94	85	100	94	34	20	33	31
Managing Resources or Being a Resource	68	70	83	73	36	20	35	32
9. Managing Stress	81	85	34	86	16	10	11	14
Human Factors in ATC Summary*	85	83	94	88	36	21	30	32
Given a standard ATC scenario, the developmental should possess the ability to detect Hearback/Readback errors such as:								
10. Transponder Codes	94	95	89	93	85	90	83	87
11. Headings	94	95	89	94	85	85	83	86
12. Call Signs	94	95	89	94	85	85	83	86
13. Altitude Assignments	95	95	89	95	85	85	83	86
14. Clearances	95	95	89	95	85	85	89	87
Hearback/Readback Summary*	94	95	89	94	85	86	84	86

^{*} Summary scores indicate the percent of "yes" responses calculated for all the items in a category.

		facility, de must have	ving at you velopmenta ve this skill. % Yes	ls	Regardle have	the ability trair	ed, do you y to provi ning? Yes	u currently de this
	500	A	75	3.5	53	A	7.5	3.5
	OWe	TOWOTA	oko No	NA	OWE	OWe	OWer	22
During standard ATC scenarios, the developmental should possess good technique in, but not limited to:			~		7		~	
15. Scanning	91	90	89	90	87	95	89	88
16. Vectoring17. Coordination	71 87	80 90	78 83	74 88	80 89	90 95	89 100	83 92
18. Memory Joggers	86	85	89	87	77	7 0	83	92 77
19. ATC Speech Pattern	92	95	94	94	75	70	78	76
ATC Technique Summary*	85	88	87	87	82	84	88	83
IMPLICATIONS OF SIGNIFICANT WEATHER								
Without references, the developmental should be able to define and recognize the implications of significant weather to air traffic, such as:								
20. SIGMETS	92	95	94	93	81	85	89	.64
21. AIRMETS	91	90	94	91	81	80	83	82
22. Micro Burst	84	90	94	88	68	65	78	69
23. Icing	87	95	94	90	83	65	83	80
Implications Of Significant Weather Summary*	88	93	94	90	78	74	83	79
UNDERSTANDING THE PILOT'S ENVIRONMENT								
Without references, the developmental should possess a knowledge of:			0.4	50				
24. Aircraft Types	97	95	94	56	85	70	78	83
25. Performance Characteristics	94	90	94	93	79	63	72	76
26 Flight Management Systems	48	75	78	59	31	15	33	27
27. Aircraft Instrumentation	65	65	77	67	38	20	29	33
28. GPS 29. Free Flight	66 50	75 55	83 72	71 56	54	35	44	48
30, TCAS Understanding and Obligations	84	75	89	83	41 85	30 70	28 67	36 76
Understanding The Pilot's Environment Summary*	72	76	84	75	59	43	50	54

^{*} Summary scores indicate the percent of "yes" responses calculated for all the items in a category.

		facility, dev must hav	ving at you velopmenta e this skill. 6 Yes	ls		ess of need the ability train	to provi	
	Towers	Towers	Tower 5	TWR 3-5	Tower 3	Towerd	Tower 5	TWR 3.5
During standard ATC scenarios, the developmental should possess good technique in, but not limited to:	₹1							
ATC EQUIPMENT	<u> </u>							
The developmental should understand and be able to operate the following equipment:								
31. ATIS	87	75	78	82	93	95	95	94
32. ASDE	23	25	44	28	23	35	67	31
33. RADAR	69	75	83	72	75	84	94	81
34. DBRITE	80	78	78	79	90	90	89	89
 NAVAIDSMonitoring/Switching Equipment 	64	55	56	60	82	90	89	83
36. ACE/SAIDS 37. TDLS	49	45	59	50	50	70	82	56
	36 74	50 70	67	44	33	65	8 9	45 75
38. ICSS or Replacement 39. ASOS	74 71	70 70	67 67	72 69	72 74	80 90	89 61	75 72
40. TDWR	28	40	61	38	23	50	67	34
Equipment Summary*	58	58	66	59	62	75	82	66
TOWER CAB								
Given a simulated tower environment, the developmental should be able to manage:								
41. Coordination.	89	85	89	88	84	95	78	86
42. Keyboard Entries	80	85	83	83	87	100	83	90
43. Position Relief Checklist	90	90	89	90	87	100	83	90
44. Timely Transfer of Control	82	75	83	82	87	90	78	87
45. Stripmarking	90	85	94	89	87	100	89	91
46. Understanding Additional VFR Services	87	80	78	84	87	100	83	90
47. Scanning	89	85	89	88	84	95	72	86
48. Speed Control	69	75	78	71	84	95	72	82
49. Sequencing (Establish and Control)	70	85	83	75	85	90	78	84
50. Loss of ARTS	69	74	83	73	82	100	72	84
51. Loss of DBRITE	74	74	83	76	84	100	72	88
52. Braking Action Reports	82	85	89	84	85	90	83	87
53. Wake Turbulence	92	95	89	92	87	95	83	89
Tower Cab Summary*	82	83	86	83	85	96	79	87

^{*} Summary scores indicate the percent of "yes" responses calculated for all the items in a category.

		facility, developmentals must have this skill. % Yes			Regardless of need, do you currently have the ability to provide this training? % Yes				
		Tower 3	Tower 4	Tower 5	Overall WR 3.5	TOWOT 3	Towerd	TOWOLS	Overall WR3.5
dev	ing standard ATC scenarios, the elopmental should possess good anique in, but not limited to:								
NOI	NRADAR								
	en a nonradar situation, the elopmental should be able to manage:	==							
54.	Arrivals	67	65	78	69	87	80	56	80
	Departures Transition from Radar to Nonradar	68 57	65 55	78 83	70 63	87 83	80 85	56 78	80 82
57.	Transition back to Radar	59	55	83	64	84	90	78	84
	Transition to CENRAP	52	70	78	60	73	90	61	73
	Loss of ARTS	67	70	83	71	84	95	78	84
	Issuing Clearances	86 76	80 85	89 83	84 79	92 92	85 90	78 72	88 88
	Stripmarking radar Summary*	67	68	82	79 70	92 85	87	69	82
	JSUAL SITUATIONS	7							
situa incid deve	en an unusual or emergency ATC ation (e.g., aircraft accident, aircraft dent, runway incursion), the elopmental should have appropriate bonses to the following:	<u></u> 1							
62.	Operational Errors	73	60	78	71	89	80	72	85
63.	Aircraft Mishaps	75	65	78	73	86	70	78	82
64.	Equipment Failure (Communications, Radar)	83	85	89	83	87	85	78	86
65.	Pilot Deviations/Runway Incursion	78	65	72	74	89	75	72	84
Unu	sual Situations Summary*	77	69	79	75	88	78	75	84

st Summary scores indicate the percent of "yes" responses calculated for all the items in a category.

		f:	Upon arriv acility, dev must hav	ls	Regardless of need, do you currently have the ability to provide this training? % Yes				
		TOWOF 3	Towers	Tower 5	Overall TWR 3-5	Towers	Towerd	Towers	TWR 3.5
deve	ng standard ATC scenarios, the elopmental should possess good nique in, but not limited to:	_							
RAD	AR TRAINING								
	Given a simulated RADAR environment, the developmental should be able to manage:								
66.	Issuing Clearances	90	95	89	90	85	85	72	83
67.	Merging Target Procedures	84	90	89	86	85	85	67	81
68.	Speed Control	69	85	83	76 70	82	85	61	77 70
69.	Sequencing using Vector vs Speed Control	73	85	83	76	80	85	61	76
70.	Sequencing (Establish and Control)	72	85	83	77	81	85	67	78
71.	Vectoring to Intersecting Runways	61	80	67	66	72	85	56	70
72.	Vectoring to Parallel Runways	45	75	78		57	80	61	60
73.	Coordination	81	95	89	85	85	95	72	64
74.	Keyboard Entries	76	95	83	81	84	95	72	83
	Position Relief Checklist	86	90	89	87	85	100	78	86
	Timely Transfer of Control	82	90	83	83	84	95	72 78	83 84
	Stripmarking	81 01	95 90	89 83	84 82	85 85	90 95	78	85
	Additional Services Scanning	81 81	90 95	89	85	84	90	67	80
	Traffic and Safety Alerts	87	95	89	88	85	90	78	84
	Wake Turbulence	86	90	89	87	85	90	78	84
	ar Training Summary*	77	89	85	81	82	89	70	80
ACA	DEMY SIMULATION TRAINING	1							
	The developmental should have the following simulation experience:								
82.	Exposed to Level III Volume and Complexity.	86	89	89	85	87	89	53	79
83.	Exposed to Level IV Volume and Complexity.	29	65	67	44	24	85	56	40
84	Exposed to Level V Volume and Complexity.	9	12	44	17	10	28	67	23
Acad	demy Simulation Training Summary*	42	56	67	49	42	68	59	48

^{*} Summary scores indicate the percent of "yes" responses calculated for all the items in a category.

		Is	Regardless of need, do you currently have the ability to provide this training? % Yes					
	Tower 3	Tower 4	Towers	TWR 3-5	Tower 3	Towers	Towers	TWR 3-5
During standard ATC scenarios, the developmental should possess good technique in, but not limited to:								
The developmental should have the following:								
85. Facility specific knowledge	19	15	6	15	98	100	94	98
86. Briefed and participated in an interactive discussion covering various employee orientation topics, such as conduct and discipline, employee assistance, pay and benefits, etc.	94	95	89	93	82	55	77	78
87. Completed OJTI course.	44	30	56	43	59	80	71	65
88. Skills in MS Windows and MS Word to perform such functions as file opening and closing, editing, formatting, etc.	43	45	33	43	54	45	47	50
Developmental Training Summary*	50	48	47	49	73	70	73	73

89. When do you believe RTF training should be completed?

Tower 3 % Yes			Tower 4 % Yes				Tower 5 % Yes		Overall Towers 3-5 % Yes			
Initial Academy	Field	Academy Follow-up	Initial Academy	Field	Academy Follow-up	Initial Academy	Field	Academy Follow-up	Initial Academy	Field	Academy Follow-up	
45	15	40	45	5	50	47	24	29	46	16	38	

^{*} Summary scores indicate the percent of "yes" responses calculated for all the items in a category.